

Published on Web 04/01/2009

Synthesis of Aromatic Esters via Pd-Catalyzed Decarboxylative Coupling of Potassium Oxalate Monoesters with Aryl Bromides and Chlorides

Rui Shang, Yao Fu,* Jia-Bin Li, Song-Lin Zhang, Qing-Xiang Guo, and Lei Liu*

Department of Chemistry, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China, and Department of Chemistry, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

Received February 8, 2009; E-mail: fuyao@ustc.edu.cn; lliu@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn

Aromatic esters are important structural elements and synthetic intermediates. Transition-metal-catalyzed synthesis of aromatic esters from aryl halides has been studied mainly in the frame of Pd-catalyzed carbonylation.^{1,2} The drawback of handling toxic CO gas and, under many circumstances, the requirement for high-pressure reaction conditions often limit the scope of this reaction, especially on a laboratory scale. Here we report a novel, practical synthesis of aromatic esters via Pd-catalyzed decarboxylative coupling of oxalate monoester salts with aryl halides (eq 1).

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Ar-X} + \\ \text{(X = I, Br, CI)} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{Pd}} \text{CO}_2 \text{K} \xrightarrow{\text{Pd}} \text{Ar} \xrightarrow{\text{OR}} + \text{CO}_2 \uparrow + \text{KX} \quad (1)$$

This study was inspired by the recent seminal work of Goossen and co-workers,³ who discovered the decarboxylative cross-coupling of α -oxocarboxylates giving rise to ketones. Related elegant studies on decarboxylative cross-coupling reactions of aromatic carboxylates have also been reported recently by Myers,⁴ Forgione,⁵ Goossen,⁶ and several other groups.^{7–11}

Our investigation began by examining the coupling between potassium 2-ethoxy-2-oxoacetate and bromobenzene (Table 1). A series of Pd salts and phosphine ligands were examined.¹² Under the optimal conditions [1 mol % Pd(TFA)₂, 1.5 mol % dppp], the desired product was obtained in 85% yield. Potassium 2-ethoxy-2-oxoacetate (readily made from diethyl oxalate, KOAc, and H₂O) is a stable, crystalline salt.¹³ Thus, the present protocol is operation-ally simpler than the previous Pd-catalyzed carbonylation method (usually conducted at ~100–150 °C with 1 mol % Pd catalyst¹) because it avoids the use of toxic CO. This feature is advantageous for small-scale synthesis.

After optimizing the catalyst system, we tested the generality of the reaction with regard to both coupling partners (Table 2). It was found that both electron-rich and electron-poor aryl bromides can be successfully converted across a range of functional groups (including ether, thioether, aldehyde, ketone, amide, nitro, nitrile, ester, and heterocycle). Importantly, ortho substitution can be tolerated in the transformation (entries 3, 5, 15). In addition to the ethyl esters, potassium 2-methoxy-2-oxoacetate can be used to produce methyl esters (entries 24-28). Furthermore, the method can be used to synthesize *trans*-acrylate derivatives in high yields from vinyl bromides (eq 2), and in a special case (eq 3), we observed cascade cross-coupling/cyclization.



Table 1.	Decarboxylative Coupl	ng under Various	Conditions ^a
	0		0

	Ŭ	+ Ph-X -Pd	source/ligand	Ĭ
	EtO CO ₂ K	150	°C, 24h, NMP Ph	OEt
Entry	Х	Pd source	Ligand	Yield % ^c
1	Br	Pd(OAc) ₂	-	<5
2	Br	$Pd(OAc)_2$	PPh ₃	27
3	Br	$Pd(OAc)_2$	P(o-Tol) ₃	51
4	Br	$Pd(OAc)_2$	P(o-MeOPh) ₃	62
5	Br	$Pd(OAc)_2$	PCy ₃	9
6	Br	$Pd(OAc)_2$	dppf	23
7	Br	$Pd(OAc)_2$	dppp	81
8	Br	$Pd(OAc)_2$	dppe	68
9	Br	$Pd(OAc)_2$	dppm	21
10	Br	$Pd(OAc)_2$	S-BINAP	36
11	Br	$Pd(OAc)_2$	X-Phos	61
12	Br	$Pd(OAc)_2$	JohnPhos	56
13	Br	PdCl ₂	dppp	80
14	Br	Pd(TFA) ₂	dppp	85
15	Br	$Pd(acac)_2$	dppp	75
16	Br	$Pd_2(dba)_3^b$	dppp	81
17	Br	$Pd(dppf)Cl_2$	dppp	79
18	Br	$Pd(PPh_3)_2Cl_2$	dppp	80
19	Br	$Pd(PPh_3)_4$	dppp	77
20	Ι	$Pd(TFA)_2$	dppp	83
21	Cl	Pd(TFA) ₂	dppp	<5

^{*a*} Conditions: 1 mol % Pd, 3 mol % monodentate ligand or 1.5 mol % bidentate ligand, aryl halide/potassium 2-ethoxy-2-oxoacetate = 1:1.5, 1.0 mL of *N*-methylpyrrolidone (NMP) solvent. All of the reactions were carried out at 0.5 mmol scale. ^{*b*} 0.5 mol %. ^{*c*} GC yields based on PhX.



The second s

Figure 1. Proposed mechanism of decarboxylative cross-coupling.

The above protocol can be applied to both aryl bromides and iodides (Table 1, entry 20) but not aryl chlorides (entry 21). Use of bulky, electron-rich ligands may solve the problem, but our experiments with good Ar–Cl activation ligands such as 'Bu₃P, S-Phos, DavePhos, X-Phos, and JohnPhos¹⁴ failed to couple PhCl with potassium 2-ethoxy-2-oxoacetate (see the Supporting Information). We reasoned that the use of a bulky, electron-rich ligand similar in structure to dppp might provide a solution. To our delight,

Table 2. Decarboxylative Cross-Coupling with Diverse Aryl Bromides

		Ar—Br —	1 mol% F 1.5 mol ^o	Pd(TFA)₂ ^{% dppp} ↓	
	RO CO ₂ K (R = Me, Et) 1.1~1.5 mmol ^b	1.0 mmol	NMP (150°C,	(2 ml) RO´Àr 16~24h	
entry	product	yield% ^a	entry	product	yield%
1	COOEt	83	15		52
2	COOEt	96	16	F ₃ C COOEt	80
3	COOEt	92	17	COOEt	94
4	COOEt	95	18	F ₃ C ₀	80
5	CO OEt	79	19	COOEt	82
6	COOEt	90	20	Ph	81
7	COOEt	89	21	COOEt	62
8	S COOEt	75	22	COOEt	94
9		82	23	F COOEt	81
10		64	24	COOMe	52
11		67	25		55
12	EtO2C	78	26	NC COOMe	70
13	NC PbCO	86	27		77
14	COOEt	98	28	MeO ₂ C	81

^a Isolated yields based on aryl bromides. ^b See the Supporting Information

Table 3.	Decarboxylative	e Cross-Coupling	With An	vl Chlorides ^a
rable o.	Decarboxylativ			



^a Isolated yields based on aryl chlorides.

dCypp proved to successfully promote the decarboxylative coupling with various aryl chlorides (Table 3).

Standard density functional theory methods were used to understand the mechanism of the new decarboxylative cross-coupling reaction (Figure 1).¹⁵ A Pd(0) complex was proposed to activate the aryl halide. When 1,3-diphosphinopropane was used as a model ligand, the Pd(0) complex formed a η^2 complex with PhBr (IN1), which should undergo oxidative addition through TS1 to produce a four-coordinate Pd(II) intermediate (IN2). The energy barrier for oxidative addition was +11.4 kcal/mol. IN2 then exchanged the anion to form IN3. From IN3, the decarboxylation transition state (TS2) was indentified as a five-coordinate Pd(II) species.¹⁶ In TS2, the Pd(II) coordinated to the leaving CO₂ moiety through one of its oxygens and to the other carbonyl group in an η^2 mode. From **IN3** to **TS2**, the free energy increased by 29.5 kcal/mol, a value whose magnitude is consistent with the experimental temperature required for the reaction (~ 150 °C). Thus, decarboxylation is the rate-limiting step in the catalytic cycle. The immediate product of decarboxylation was a four-coordinate acyl-Pd complex (IN4), which readily underwent reductive elimination to produce the ester product through TS3 with a low barrier of \sim 12 kcal/mol. In **IN4**, the Pd center is coordinatively saturated, preventing decarbonylation to form an inactive Pd-CO complex. This may explain why bidentate phosphine ligands are favored for the present decarboxylative cross-coupling reactions. A related phenomenon has been discussed for Pd-catalyzed carbonylation,¹⁷ where the use of bulky, electron-rich bidentate ligands has also been found to be important.2

In summary, Pd-catalyzed decarboxylative cross-coupling of aryl iodides, bromides, and chlorides with potassium oxalate monoesters has been discovered. This reaction is potentially useful for laboratory-scale synthesis of aryl and alkenyl esters.¹⁸ Bulky, electronrich bidentate phosphine ligands are preferred in the reaction, whereas Cu is not needed for decarboxylation. Theoretical calculations suggest a five-coordinate Pd(II) transition state for decarboxylation with an energy barrier of \sim 30 kcal/mol.

Acknowledgment. This study was supported by the 973 Program (2007CB210205), NNSFC (20602034, 20832004), and NCET.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental details and compound characterizations. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (1) For a recent review, see: Barnard, C. F. J. Organometallics 2008, 27, 5402. Recent breakthroughs: (a) Munday, R.; Martinelli, J.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 2754. (b) Watson, D.; Fan, X.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 7096. (c) Martinelli, J. R.; Watson, D. A.; Freckmann,
- D.; Barder, T. E.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 7102. (3) Goossen, L. J.; Rudolphi, F.; Oppel, C.; Rodriguez, N. Angew. Chem., Int.
- (a) Myers, A. G.; Tanaka, D.; Mannion, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, (a) Hydra, M. G., Hunts, D., Myers, A. G. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 433. (c) Tanaka,
 D.; Romeril, S.; Myers, A. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 10323.
- Forgione, P.; Brochu, M. C.; St-Onge, M.; Thesen, K. H.; Bailey, M. D.; Bilodeau, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 11350.
 (6) (a) Goossen, L. J.; Deng, G.; Levy, L. M. Science 2006, 313, 662. (b) Goossen, L. J.; Rodriguez, N.; Melzer, B.; Linder, C.; Deng, G.; Levy, L. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 4824. (c) Goossen, L. J.; Melzer, B. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 7473. (d) Goossen, L. J.; Zimmermann, B.; Knauber, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 7103. (e) Goossen, L. J.; Knauber, T. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 8631. (f) Goossen, L. J.; Rodriguez, N.; Linder, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 15248. (g) Goossen, L. J.;
- (7)
- Manojolinho, F.; Khan, B. A.; Rodriguez, N. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 2620.
 (a) Becht, J.-M.; Catala, C.; Le Drian, C.; Wagner, A. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 1781.
 (b) Becht, J.-M.; Le Drian, C. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 3161.
 Dickstein, J. S.; Mulrooney, C. A.; O'Brien, E. M.; Morgan, B. J.; Kozlowski, M. C. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 2441. (8)
- (9)(a) Moon, J.; Jeong, M.; Nam, H.; Ju, J.; Moon, J. H.; Jung, H. M.; Lee, S. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 945. (b) Maehara, A.; Tsurugi, H.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 1159.
- (10) Voutchkova, A.; Coplin, A.; Leadbeater, N. E.; Crabtree, R. H. Chem. Commun. 2008, 6312.
- (11) For related studies, see: (a) Weaver, J. D.; Tunge, J. A. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 4657. (b) Waetzig, S. R.; Tunge, J. A. Chem. Commun. 2008, 3311. (c) Burger, E. C.; Tunge, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2006**, 128, 10002. (d) Rayabarapu, D. K.; Tunge, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2005**, 127, 13510.
- (12) A Cu cocatalyst was used in the beginning, but our experiments quickly revealed that the use of only Pd is sufficient for the present system.
- (13) (a) Klemm, L. H.; Lu, J. J. Org. Prep. Proced. Int. 1986, 18, 237. (b) Wang, B.; Li, Z.; Li, Y.; Wang, S. Chem. Res. Chin. Univ. 2007, 23, 280.
 (14) Surry, D. S.; Buchwald, S. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 6338.
- (15) Previously, a theoretical study on decarboxylative coupling was done using
- only a Cu catalyst. See: Goossen, L.; Thiel, W. R.; Rodriguez, N.; Linder, C.; Melzer, B. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2007, 349, 2241.
- (16) In the studies of Myers and co-workers,⁴ the transition state for decarboxylation was proposed to be a four-coordinate Pd(II) species carrying no phosphine ligand.
- (17) Stromnova, T. A.; Moiseev, I. I. Russ. Chem. Rev. 1998, 67, 485.
- (18) For synthetically related Pd-catalyzed α -arylation of esters, see: (a) Culkin, D. A.; Hartwig, J. F. Acc. Chem. Res. 2003, 36, 234. (b) Hama, T.; Liu, X.; Culkin, D. A.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 11176.

JA900984X